

1. Dist. Durgaling (SP) P.S. Boydagra Year 2026 FIR No. 170/26 Date 08.05.2026

2. i) Act. BNS Sections 318(D)/318(4) ii) Act. \_\_\_\_\_ Sections \_\_\_\_\_  
iii) Act. \_\_\_\_\_ Sections \_\_\_\_\_ iv) Others Acts & Sections \_\_\_\_\_

3. (a) Occurrence of offence : Day \_\_\_\_\_ Date From \_\_\_\_\_ Date To March 2026  
Time Period \_\_\_\_\_ Time From \_\_\_\_\_ Time To \_\_\_\_\_

(b) Information received at P.S. Date 08.05.2026 Time 19.45 hr  
(c) General Diary Reference : Entry No (s) 330 Time 19.45 hr

4. Type of Information \_\_\_\_\_ Written / Oral  Written

5. Place of Occurrence : (a) Direction and Distance from P.S. \_\_\_\_\_ Beat No. \_\_\_\_\_  
(b) Address Mouza Basuigach (D.I. No-65) and Mouza Polua chat (D.I. No-52), P.S. Boydagra, Dist. Durgaling?

(c) In case outside limit of this Police Station, then the Name of the P.S. N/A District \_\_\_\_\_

6. Complainant / Informant : (a) Name Smt. Mary Margaret Chacka

(b) Father's / Husband's Name LT Sri K.T. Chacka

(c) Date / Year of Birth \_\_\_\_\_ (d) Nationality Indian

(e) Passport No. \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Issue \_\_\_\_\_ Place of Issue \_\_\_\_\_  
(f) Occupation \_\_\_\_\_  
(g) Address Salbarie, PO-Salbarie P.S. Pradhannagar Dist. Durgaling

7. Details of known / suspected / unknown accused with full particulars  
(Attach separate sheet, if necessary) :  
i) Sebastian Chacka ii) Sri Prabha Tirkey  
Chacka iii) Joydeb Chakraborty iv) Sway Lepcha

8. Reasons for delay in reporting by the Complainant / Information  
/

9. Particulars of properties stolen / involved (Attach separate sheet, if necessary) :  
/

10. Total value of properties stolen / involved \_\_\_\_\_

11. Inquest Report / U.D. Case No. If any \_\_\_\_\_

12. FIR Contents (Attach separate sheets, if required) : The Original Accusation Complaint which is treated as FIR is enclosed/reproduced overleaf.


13. Action Taken : Since the above report reveals commission of offence(s) as mentioned at item No. 2., Registered the case and took up the investigation / directed SI Pratap Basak of BDR PS, SPC to take up investigation / refused investigation / transferred to P.S. \_\_\_\_\_ on point of jurisdiction. FIR read over to the Complaint / Informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the Complainant / informant free of cost.

14. Signature / Thumb impression Noted in Original Complaint of the Complainant / Informant  
Signature of the Officer-in-Charge, Police Station Name : Kalyan Soha

15. Date & Time of despatch to the court : On 09.05.26 at 11:00 hr  
Rank : No. SI of Police (O/C BDR PS, SPC)

Received on 08.05.22 at 19.45 hrs vide BDR PS  
GDE No. 320 Dt. 08.05.22. Case in Started BDR  
PS Case No. 170/22 Dt. 08.05.22 U/s 318(2)/  
318(a) BNS & endorsed to SI Pratap Basak  
for its investigation.

To  
The Officer-in-Charge  
Bagdogra Police Station  
District Darjeeling  
West Bengal

  
Officer-in-Charge  
Bagdogra P.S.

Siliguri Police Commissionerate

**Subject: Complaint seeking registration of FIR regarding a series of illegal, fraudulent and coordinated land transactions involving execution and registration of multiple deeds without mandatory Tribal NOC, suppression of legal heirship, and related offences.**

Mary Margaret Chacko

Respected Sir,

I, Smt. Mary Margaret Chacko, daughter of Late Shri K.T. Chacko and Late Smt. Teresa Soreng Chacko, respectfully submit this complaint for your kind consideration and necessary action.

### **1. Background of Property**

Certain immovable properties situated at Mouza Baruigach (J. L No: 65) and Mouza Dalur Chat (J.L. No: 56), within P.S. Bagdogra, District Darjeeling, originally formed part of the estate of my late parents. My mother, Smt. Teresa Soreng Chacko, belonged to a Scheduled Tribe community, and the properties in her name are governed by laws protecting tribal land.

### **2. Earlier FIRs and Cancellation Orders**

It is submitted that FIR Nos. 293/25 and 294/25 dated 07.08.2025 were earlier registered at Bagdogra Police Station in relation to similar illegal transactions. Subsequently, the competent Tribal Authority cancelled 11 deeds pertaining to the said FIRs vide orders dated 24.12.2025. The investigation is ongoing for the FIRs.

### **3. Discovery of Further Illegal Transactions**

Thereafter, further investigation and examination of records revealed additional deeds and instances of illegality, fraud and malpractice, leading to further applications for the cancellation of the additional deeds before the competent Tribal Authority in March 2026.

### **4. Details of Additional Illegal Deeds**

#### **(A) Deed No. I-040801208 / 2019**

I have recently come to learn that Deed No: I - 040801208 / 2019 executed by Smt. Teresa Soreng Chacko in favour of Shri Sebastian Chacko was registered without obtaining the mandatory permission / No Objection Certificate from the competent Tribal Authority, which is required under the laws governing transfer of tribal land. Such transfer without prior permission of the competent authority is illegal and void and appears to have been executed and registered through misrepresentation, suppression of material facts and in violation of statutory safeguards meant to protect tribal land rights.

The said transaction forms part of a broader pattern of fraudulent and illegal deeds relating to the estate of my late mother, which are presently under scrutiny and have already led to proceedings and some orders of cancellation before the competent tribal authority. The execution and registration of

the above deed without the required...  
conspiracy, fraud and misuse of official processes to unlawfully transfer property.  
The details of the deed are as under.

Deed Number	Date of Registration	Registration Office
I - 040801208 / 2019	25/04/2019	A.D.S.R. GHOSHPUKUR

Mary

It is pertinent to note that the schedule of land that formed a part of this deed was transferred to Smt. Prabha Tirkey Chacko in entirety through gift deed number: I-40803530/2024 dated 1.7.2024 by Shri Sebastian Chacko. Smt. Prabha Tirkey Chacko was thus the ultimate beneficiary of this illegal act. Further it might be noted that a total of 11 gift deeds pertaining to the estate of Late Teresa Soreng Chacko were cancelled by Revenue Officer, Siliguri under *Chapter II-A of the West Bengal Land Reforms Act, 1955* and the Project Officer-cum-District Welfare Officer (Backward Classes Welfare & Tribal Development), Shivmandir, Siliguri. Prabha Tirkey Chacko was a witness in 10 of the 11 deeds that were deemed illegal and cancelled (Deed Numbers: I-040302823/2016, I-040302949/2016, I-040305464/2017, I-040302788/2018, I-040305844/2018, I-040304558/2018, I-040304559/2018, I-040304560/2018, I-040307160/2018, I-040305897/2018).

It is pertinent to note that deed number I-040305897/2018 involved a direct fraudulent act in suppressing my right as the legal heir of my father Late K.T. Chacko by providing a false heirship certificate which had no mention of me. Since Prabha Tirkey Chacko is the wife of Sebastian Chacko and daughter-in-law of late Teresa Soreng Chacko and late K.T. Chacko it is impossible that she didn't know of my existence as a lawful heir of my father late K.T. Chacko. FIR numbers 293/25 and 294/25 have been registered at Bagdogra P.S. for the same acts.

Further deed numbers I-040302949/2016 and I-040307160/2018 bear Smt. Prabha Tirkey Chacko as the sole witness and the deeds were registered illegally in violation of the statutory requirement of two witnesses. These deeds have been cancelled by the competent tribal authority and are also the subject of investigation under the previous FIR numbers 293/25 and 294/25 which were registered at Bagdogra police Station earlier.

Further Smt. Prabha Tirkey Chacko is also the witness within deed number I-1436/2013 which has also been registered without the mandatory NOC from the concerned tribal welfare departments and is the matter of this complaint too.

The repeated involvement of the said witness in the majority of these disputed transactions indicates that the deeds formed part of a connected series of transactions which require detailed investigation. The repeated appearance of the same individual as witness in the overwhelming majority of the impugned deeds, including in deeds where only a single witness was shown, raises serious concerns